

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/921,542	08/03/2001	Daniel L. Schwarz	P-5204	6838	
26253	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
	HIGHET, VP AND CF	SORKIN, I	SORKIN, DAVID L		
	CKINSON AND COMP. PRIVE, MC 110	ANY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	AKES, NJ 07417-1880	0	1723		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\langle \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/921,542	SCHWARZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David L. Sorkin	1723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	; 		
A SH THE - Exte- after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	fay 2004.				
•	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3)□	, —					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The path and selection in which the Franchise transfer is a selection.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
•—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer. Note the attached Offici	e Action of form P10-18	12.		
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	e		
2)	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McNeilly (US 2,974,018). Regarding claim 1, McNeilly ('018) discloses a system comprising a sample vessel (46), which is in the form of a sample vial; a sample vial holder (10,12,14,16,20,22,68,70, etc.), capable of receiving the sample vessel in a position such that the longitudinal axis of said sample vessel extends at an angle substantially less than 90 degrees with respect to the horizontal (for example, if 10.12 is placed on a sloped surface); a stirrer (50) within said sample vessel, wherein said stirrer includes a ferrous metal (see col. 2, lines 59-64); a magnet driver (72,76), adapted to move a magnet (for example 74) proximate to an outer surface of said sample vessel to permit said magnet to impose a magnetic influence on said ferrous metal in said stirrer to move said stirrer in said sample vessel, and wherein said magnet rotates about 90 degrees with respect to the longitudinal axis of said sample vessel (see Fig. 3). Regarding claim 2, said magnet driver comprises a magnet shaft assembly (76) having said magnet coupled thereto; and a motor (72), adapted to move said magnet shaft assembly to move said magnet proximate to said outer surface and away from said outer surface. Regarding claim 3, the shaft assembly (76) is rotatable and said motor

Art Unit: 1723

(72) is capable of performing the act discussed in claim 3. Regarding claim 4, the reference discloses an electric motor which intrinsically involves magnetic coupling to the shaft. Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10 further discuss what the claimed device is intend to do; however, "apparatus claims cover what a device *is*, not what a device *does*" (emphasis in original) *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.* 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Claim 8 only discusses a magnet which is not recited as part of the claimed apparatus and therefore does not further structurally limit the claimed apparatus.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments are most in view of the new grounds for rejection. The reference IIg (US 3,328,255) does not disclose the type of vessel to which applicant now desires the invention be limited.
- 4. Nonetheless, the examiner feels the need to address several inaccurate statements applicant has made on the record:
 - a. While applicant states "The claimed invention uses a non-magnetic ferrous metal...", the claims make no such "non-magnetic" requirement.
 - b. Applicant states "the vessel holder in the present invention is a cylindrical structure"; however, the claims are not limited to such a shape.
 - c. Applicant points out that the stirrer of Rosinger (US 2,350,534) may include a permanent magnet; however, the instant claims do not exclude such a stirrer. Koster (US 1,928,382) is cited by the examiner in case applicant mistakenly believes that permanent magnets do not comprise ferrous metals. Oppositely, permanent magnets often comprise ferrous metals.

Art Unit: 1723

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit 1723

David Sorkin

Dail libr